UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JAMES EUGENE HERMANSON,) 3:15-cv-00526-RCJ-VPC
Plaintiff,	MINUTES OF THE COURT
vs.) November 17, 2016
DEPUTY PARADA, DEPUTY VERDUGO, DEPUTY CHAMPA,)))
Defendants.) _) _)
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VALERIE P.	COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN	REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEA	RING
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPE	EARING
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:	
Defendants moved to dismiss on Septemb opposed and defendants replied, plaintiff filed a "1 2016. (ECF No. 28.) Defendants move to strike t unauthorized surreply. (ECF No. 29 at 2-3.) The contemplates a motion, an opposition, and a reply permitted without leave of court; motions for leave 2(b). This remains true even where the filer is a p 3:09-cv-00223-RCJ-VPC, 2011 WL 2161391, at *	he filing on the ground that the filing is an local rule governing motions briefing, and expressly states that "[s]urreplies are not e to file a surreply are discouraged." LR 7-ro se litigant. Id. (citing Odoms v. Skolnik, No.
Plaintiff did not request leave to file a surre arguments in reply to plaintiff's opposition. There 29) is GRANTED .	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK
	BY:/s/
	Deputy Clerk